Review of Historical Statistics of the United States

No Known Copyright

Princeton University Library reasonably believes that the Item is not restricted by copyright or related rights, but a conclusive determination could not be made.

You are free to use this Item in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use.

Princeton University Library Disclaimer

Princeton University Library claims no copyright governing this digital resource. It is provided for free, on a non-commercial, open-access basis, for fair-use academic and research purposes only. Anyone who claims copyright over any part of these resources and feels that they should not be presented in this manner is invited to contact Princeton University Library, who will in turn consider such concerns and make every effort to respond appropriately. We request that users reproducing this resource cite it according the guidelines described at http://rbsc.princeton.edu/policies/forms-citation.

Citation Information

Morrison, Toni. 1931-

Review of Historical Statistics of the United States

1 folder

Contact Information

Download Information

Date Rendered: 2019-09-05 01:28:07 PM UTC

Available Online at: http://arks.princeton.edu/ark:/88435/1n79h8896

THERE IS A REFERENCE BOOK CALLED THE HISTORICAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES FROM COLONIAL TIMES TO 1957. IN IT ARE TABLES OF IMPORTS AND EX PORTS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER. RIGHT AFTER RICE AND JUST BEFORE TAR AND TURPENTINE UNDER ARE THE HUMANS. THE RICE IS MEASURED BY POUNDS: THE PITCH, TAR AND TURPENTINE BY THE BARRELWEIGHT. HEAD-COUNT , HOWEVER, IS USED TO MEASURE THE HUMANS. EVERY EFFORT SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN MADE MADE TO ASSURE THE ACCURACY OF 1 4 1 Es OF THE TABLES. BELOW THE NEAT COLUMNS OF FIGURES, FOOTNOTES SEEM TO APOLOGIZE FOR OCCASIONAL LAPSES IN COMPLETE DATA. "WE ARE SORRY," THE BUREAU OF CENSUS SEEMS TO BE SAYING, "THAM BETTER RECORDS WERE NOT KEPT OR AVAILABLE TO US. THE COUNTRY WAS JUST GETTING ITSELF TOGETHER, YOU KNOW, AND THINGS WERE LESS

Mount B 105 / 105 / 1979

Maid 23, 1979

THAN EFFICIENT. ONE SENSES REASONABLENESS AND GENTLEMANYY ASSER TION EVERYWHERE IN THESE PAGES. BUT IT IS REASON ABLENESS WITHOUT THE LEAST HOPE OF SUCCESS, FOR THE LANGUAGE ITSELF CRACKS UNDER THE WEIGHTOF ITS OWN IMPLICATIONS. FOOTNOTE 3, FOR EXAMPLE, UNDER SLAVES CLARIFIES THE AM-BIGUITY OF ITS REFERENCE IN THE FOLDOWING WORDS: "SOURCE SHOWS 72 INDIAN SLAVE SLAVES IMPORTED; 231 SLAVES DIED AND 103 DRAWN BACK FOR EXPORTATION." DIED? DRAWN BACK? STRANGE VIO -LENT WORDS THAT COULD NEVER BE USED TO DESCRIBE RICE, OR TAR OR TURPENTINE. FOOTNOTE 5 BY FAR THE COOLEST IN ITS CIVILIZED ACCURACY, IS AS FOLLOWS: "NUMBER OF NEGROES SHIPPED, NOT THOSE ACTUALLY ARRIVED."



THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE APPARENTLY, BETWEEN THE NUMBER SHIPPED AND THE NUIMBER THAT ARRIVED. THE MIND GALLOPS TO THE FIRST QUESTION: HOW MANY? HOW MANY WERE SHIPPED? HOW MANY DID NOT ARRIVE? THEN THE MIND SLIDES TOWARD THE NEXT QUESTION: THE VITAL ONE THAT WITHERS ALL OTHERS: WHO? WHO AS ABSENT AT THE FINAL HEAD-COUNT? WAS THERE A 17 YEAR OLD GIRL THERE WITH A TREE-SHAPED SCAR ON HER KNEE? AND WHAT WAS HER NAME? THAT IS WHAT INDICES ARE LIKE OF COURSE. NOT THE FAN-SHAPED SPREAD OF RICE BURSTING FROM A GUNNYSACK: NOT THE THUNDER OF BARRELS OF TURPENTINE CASCADING DOWN A PLANK: AND NOT A 17 YEAR OLD GIRL WITH A SCAR ON HER. KNEE. HISTORY IS PERCENTILES: THE :THOUGHTS OF GREAT MEN.

27

IT IS AWKWARD TO DIFFER
FROM A GREAT MAN, BUT
TOLSTOI WAS WRONG. KINGS
ARE NOT THE SLAVES OF
HISTORY. HISTORY IS THE

4

SLAVE OF KINGS.

I'M TOWN HORRISON